

SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES
OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER

Summary Page

COPY

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo Revised

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this report and that, to the best of my knowledge, the information is true, complete, and accurate.

PWS ID No.: 2400022

Plant ID No.: 20831

Operator's Signature: _____

Report for the Month of: April 2015

Certificate No. & Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: May 21, 2015

TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE

Total number of turbidity readings:	153	Number of 4-hour periods when plant was off-line:	27
Number of readings above 0.10 NTU:	28	Number of 4-hour periods when plant was on-line but turbidity data was not collected:	0
Number of readings above 0.3 NTU:	0	Number of days when plant was on-line but individual filter turbidity data was not collected:	30
Number of readings above 0.5 NTU:	0	Number of days with readings above 1.0 NTU:	0 (2)
Number of readings above 1.0 NTU:	0	Number of days with readings above 5.0 NTU:	0 (3)
Maximum allowable turbidity level:	0.3		
Percentage of readings above this limit:	0.0 % (1)		
Statistical Summary	Maximum turbidity reading: <u>0.18</u> NTU	Average turbidity value:	0.08 NTU
	Minimum turbidity reading: <u>0.04</u> NTU	Standard deviation:	0.028 NTU
	CFE 95 th percentile value: <u>0.15</u> NTU	IFE 95 th percentile:	NA NTU
Bin Class: <u>2</u>	Crypto Credit Required: <u>4.0</u> (7A)	Crypto Credit Achieved: <u>0.0</u> (7B)	Bin 3&4 Credits: <u>0.0</u> (7C)
Watershed Protection:	0.0	Conventional Treatment:	3.0
Bank Filtration:	0.0	Enhanced Filter Performance:	0.0
Presedimentation with Coagulation:	0.0	Bag and Cartridge Filtration:	0.0
Two-Stage Lime Softening:	0.0	Membrane Filtration:	0.0
Second Stage Filtration:	0.0	UV:	0.0
		Ozone, Chlorine Dioxide:	0.0
		Perform. Demonstration:	0.0
Number of days with a low CT for no more than 4.0 consecutive hours:	0	Average log inactivation for Giardia:	6.24 (R)
Number of days with a low CT for more than 4.0 consecutive hours:	0 (4)	Average log inactivation for viruses:	165.12 (R)
		Number of days when profiling data was not collected:	0
		Number of days when CT data was not collected:	0
Minimum disinfectant residual required leaving the plant:	0.5 mg/L, measured as Total Chlorine		
Number of days with a low residual for no more than 4.0 consecutive hours:	0		
Number of days with a low residual for more than 4.0 consecutive hours:	0 (5)	Number of days when disinfectant residual leaving the plant was not properly monitored:	0

DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

Minimum disinfectant residual required in distribution system:	0.5 mg/L, measured as Total Chlorine		
Total number of readings this month:	36	(at least 30 required) (8)	
Average disinfectant residual value:	1.39	Percentage of readings with a low residual this month:	0.0 % (8A)
Number of readings with a low residual:	0		
Number of readings with no detectable residual:	0	Percentage of readings with a low residual last month:	0.0 % (8B)

ADDITIONAL REPORTS & WORKSHEETS

The Page 1 Addendum (Public Notices) is required because there was at least one treatment technique or monitoring/reporting violation reported.

- Additional report(s) for individual filter monitoring required: NONE Filter Profile Filter Assessment CPE
- Additional report(s) for individual filter monitoring submitted: NONE Filter Profile (9) Filter Assessment (10) CPE (11)
- No additional IFE Reports are required this month.

P.2-Turbidity Data P.3-Filter Data P.4&5-Disinfection Data P.6-TOCMOR

Alternate Technol.

SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT
TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
WATER SUPPLY DIVISION/PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SECTION (MC-155)
P.O. BOX 13087, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087

SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT
 FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES
 OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)
 Summary Page Addendum (Violations and Public Notices)

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo Revised

PWS ID No.: 2400022

Plant ID No.: 20831

Month: April

Year: 2015

PUBLIC NOTICES

VIOLATION TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	VIOLATION OCCURRED?	NOTICE TO TCEQ		NOTICE TO CUSTOMER *		VIOLATION DATES
			DATE OF NOTICE		DATE OF NOTICE	PENDING	
TREATMENT TECHNIQUE	Were more than 5.0% of the turbidity readings above the acceptable level? - see (1) on the Summary Page	No					
	Were there any days with turbidity readings above 1.0 NTU? - see (2) on the Summary Page	No					
	Were there any days with turbidity readings above 5.0 NTU? - see (3) on the Summary Page	No					
	Were there any periods when the plant failed to meet the CT requirements for more than 4.0 consecutive hours? - see (4) on the Summary Page	No					
	Were there any periods when the residuals leaving the plant fell below the acceptable level for more than 4.0 consecutive hours? - see (5) on the Summary Page	No					
	Were more than 5.0% of the residuals in the distribution system below the acceptable level for two months in a row? - see (6A) and (6B) on the Summary Page	No					
	Was Cryptosporidium removal credit less than required based on Bin Classification? - see (7A), (7B), and (7C) on the Summary Page	Yes					1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30
MONITORING & REPORTING	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the required Combined Filter Effluent (CFE) turbidity readings? - see the Turbidity Data Page	No					
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all the CT data needed to evaluate the level of microbial inactivation achieved? - see the Disinfection Data Page	No					
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report the minimum disinfectant residual entering the distribution system? - see the Turbidity Data Page	No					
	Did the system fail to collect enough samples in the distribution system to meet the minimum disinfectant monitoring requirements? - see (8) on the Summary Page	No					
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report the maximum individual filter effluent (IFE) turbidity level produced by each filter? - see the Filter Data Page	Yes					1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30,
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report the IFE turbidity level 4-hours after beginning a filter run? - see the Filter Data Page	Not Applicable					
	Did the plant fail to submit a Filter Profile Report if one was required? - see (9) on the Summary page	No					
	Did the plant fail to submit a Filter Assessment Report if one was required? - see (10) on the Summary Page	No					
	Did the plant fail to submit a Comprehensive Performance Evaluation Request if one was required? - see (11) on the Summary Page	No					
Did the plant fail to collect at least one Total Organic Carbon sample set? - see TOCMOR Page	No						

* Treatment technique violation notices are due no later than the end of the next business day. Please include a copy if possible.
 * Copies of each Public Notice must accompany this report if they have already been issued.

SUBMITTED BY:

TOMAS SANCHEZ JR

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: May 21, 2015

SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT
 FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES
 OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)
 Summary Page Addendum (Violations and Public Notices)

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo Revised

PWS ID No.: 2400022

Plant ID No.: 20831

Month: April

Year: 2015

PUBLIC NOTICES						
VIOLATION TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF VIOLATION	VIOLATION OCCURRED?	NOTICE TO TCEQ	NOTICE TO CUSTOMER *		VIOLATION DATES
			DATE OF NOTICE	DATE OF NOTICE	PENDING	
MONITORING & REPORTING FOR ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data required to evaluate its watershed protection program?	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data required to evaluate its bank filters? - see the Prefilters worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its presedimentation basin? - see the Prefilters worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its two stage softening process? - see the Prefilters worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its bag or cartridge filters? - see the Bag, Cartridge worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its 2nd stage filters? - see the 2ndStageFilters worksheet	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its membrane filters? - see the membrane worksheets	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data needed to evaluate its UV reactors? - see the UV-ISA and UV-CDA worksheets	Not Applicable				
	Did the plant fail to report the data needed to evaluate its UV sensors or UV Transmittance analyzers? - see the UV-Sensors and UVT worksheets	Not Applicable				
	Were there any days when the plant failed to report all the CT data needed to evaluate the level of <i>Cryptosporidium</i> inactivation achieved? - see the Crypto CT worksheet	Not Applicable				
Were there any days when the plant failed to report all of the data required by the Demonstration of Performance approval letter issued by the TCEQ?	Not Applicable					

Treatment technique violation notices are due no later than the end of the next business day. Please include a copy if possible.
 * Copies of each Public Notice must accompany this report if they have already been issued.

SUBMITTED BY: TOMAS SANCHEZ JR

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: May 21, 2015

SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT
 FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES
 OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)
 Turbidity Data Page

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo Revised
 PWS ID No.: 2400022 Plant ID No.: 20831 Connections: 1,907
 Month: April Year: 2015 Population: 6,675

PERFORMANCE DATA																			
Date	Raw Water Pumpage (MGD)	Treated Water Pumpage (MGD)	RAW WATER ANALYSES		SETTLED WATER TURBIDITY (Optional Data)						FINISHED WATER QUALITY								
			NTU	Alk.	Basin No.						Combined Filter Effluent Turbidity						Lowest Residual	Time	
					1	2	3	4	5	6	NTU1	NTU2	NTU3	NTU4	NTU5	NTU6			
1	17.467	7.516	7	120	0.5	0.5						0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	2.5	
2	17.467	12.859	8	140	0.5	0.8						0.05	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	2.0	
3	12.916	12.398	7	120	1.0	0.6						0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	2.9	
4	13.032	6.480	16	140	0.5	0.6						0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	3.3	
5	13.032	7.502	11	120	0.4	0.7						0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.05	3.0	
6	17.697	7.142	15	120	0.9	0.8						0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	2.8	
7	12.744	12.369	9	140	0.7	0.6						0.07	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	3.2	
8	12.787	11.080	8	140	0.4	0.8						0.06	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	2.5	
9	13.003	11.707	11	140	0.5	0.6						0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	2.7	
10	17.611	11.563	12	120	1.0	0.5						0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	2.9	
11	13.334	7.344	10	120	1.2	0.6						0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	2.4	
12	12.715	7.156	23	120	1.2	0.5						0.10	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	2.9	
13	12.844	12.801	16	120	1.1	0.5						0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	2.9	
14	12.859	7.387	17	140	0.5	0.4						0.09	X	X	0.08	0.09	0.08	1.9	
15	12.830	7.560	13	140	0.8	0.8						0.08	X	X	0.13	0.07	0.07	2.7	
16	12.715	7.113	13	140	0.9	1.0						0.06	X	X	0.06	0.06	0.06	2.8	
17	12.916	7.344	15	120	0.3	0.6						0.05	X	0.12	0.06	X	0.07	2.1	
18	12.945	7.416	12	140	0.4	0.5						0.07	X	X	0.07	0.08	0.08	2.1	
19	12.657	7.416	14	140	0.2	0.4						0.08	X	X	0.07	0.08	0.11	2.5	
20	13.305	12.628	11	140	1.3	0.6						0.11	X	X	0.04	0.06	0.06	2.6	
21	5.363	6.025	8	140	0.5	0.4						0.06	X	X	0.10	X	X	2.0	
22	12.687	6.679	6	140	1.4	1.3						X	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	2.8	
23	8.256	6.793	4	140	0.8	0.9						0.10	X	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	2.8	
24	6.814	4.887	6	140	1.3	1.2						0.08	X	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	3.1	
25	9.794	7.454	9	140	0.8	0.5						0.08	X	X	0.08	0.09	0.08	3.1	
26	10.902	7.539	13	140	2.1	0.6						0.08	X	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	2.9	
27	12.898	7.252	24	140	0.8	0.6						0.12	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	3.0	
28	11.581	4.947	21	140	0.4	0.4						0.13	X	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.16	3.9	
29	10.867	9.899	16	140	0.5	1.1						0.16	X	0.12	0.12	0.18	0.16	3.3	
30	8.699	7.084	12	120	0.6	1.0						0.16	X	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.15	4.2	
31																			
Total	374.737	253.340																	
Avg	12.491	8.445																	
Max	17.697	12.859																	
Min	5.363	4.887																	

NOTE: ONLY use the "Time" column to show the length of time that the disinfectant residual entering the distribution system fell below the acceptable level.

SUBMITTED BY: Tomás Sanchez Jr. Certificate No. WS0009456, C and Grade: WS0009456, C Date: May 21, 2015

SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES
OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)

Filter Data Page

PUBLIC WATER
SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility

PLANT NAME
OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo Revised

PWS ID No.: 2400022 Plant ID No.: 20831

Month: April Year: 2015

PERFORMANCE DATA

INDIVIDUAL FILTER TURBIDITY

Date	Filter No. 1		Filter No. 2		Filter No. 3		Filter No. 4		Filter No. 5		Filter No. 6		Filter No. 7		Filter No. 8		Filter No. 9		Filter No. 10		
	Max	4 Hrs	Max	4 Hrs																	
1	MD		MD		MD		MD														
2	MD		MD		MD		MD														
3	MD		MD		MD		MD														
4	MD		MD		MD		MD														
5	MD		MD		MD		MD														
6	MD		MD		MD		MD														
7	MD		MD		MD		MD														
8	MD		MD		MD		MD														
9	MD		MD		MD		MD														
10	MD		MD		MD		MD														
11	MD		MD		MD		MD														
12	MD		MD		MD		MD														
13	MD		MD		MD		MD														
14	MD		MD		MD		MD														
15	MD		MD		MD		MD														
16	MD		MD		MD		MD														
17	MD		MD		MD		MD														
18	MD		MD		MD		MD														
19	MD		MD		MD		MD														
20	MD		MD		MD		MD														
21	MD		MD		MD		MD														
22	MD		MD		MD		MD														
23	MD		MD		MD		MD														
24	MD		MD		MD		MD														
25	MD		MD		MD		MD														
26	MD		MD		MD		MD														
27	MD		MD		MD		MD														
28	MD		MD		MD		MD														
29	MD		MD		MD		MD														
30	MD		MD		MD		MD														
31																					

SUMMARY & COMPLIANCE ACTIONS	Criteria	Filter No.										Plant										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10											
	Number of days with event(s) above 0.5 NTU at 4.0 hrs this month																					
	Number of days with event(s) above 1.0 NTU this month	0	0	0	0																	
	Number of days with event(s) above 1.0 NTU last month	0	0	0	0																	
	Number of days with event(s) above 1.0 NTU two months ago	0	0	0	0																	
	Total number of days with event(s) above 1.0 NTU in three months	0	0	0	0																	
	Number of events above 2.0 NTU this month											0										
	Number of events above 2.0 NTU last month											0										
	Does the filter/plant have an approved Corrective Action Plan?	N	N	N	N																	N
	Is the plant required to submit a Filter Profile Report?	N	N	N	N																	
	Is the plant required to submit a Filter Assessment Report?	N	N	N	N																	
	Is the plant required to submit a Request for Compliance CPE?											N										

SUBMITTED BY: Thomas Sanchez Jr.

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C Date: May 21, 2015

SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES
OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)
Disinfection Data Page

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo Revised

PWS ID No.: 2400022

Plant ID No.: 20831

Month: April

Year: 2015

DISINFECTION PROCESS PARAMETERS							
APPROVED CT STUDY PARAMETERS					PERFORMANCE STANDARDS		
Parameters	Disinfection Zones				Log Inactivations		
	D1A	D1B	D2	D3	D4	Giardia lamblia Cysts	Viruses
Flow Rate (MGD)	1.250	1.250	0.625	1.250		0.5	2.0
T ₁₀ (minutes)	7.9	7.9	21.0	50.4			

PERFORMANCE DATA									
DISINFECTION PROCESS DATA									
Date	Disinfectant	C (mg/L)	Flow (MGD)	Temp (°C)	pH	Giardia Log	Virus Log	Inact. Ratio	Time
1	FCL D1A	0.3	0.873	22.0	6.6	1.42	25.14	2.84	
	FCL D1B	0.4	0.873	22.0	6.6				
	FCL D2	0.4	0.436	22.0	6.6				
	CLA D3	2.5	1.746	21.0	6.7				
	D4								
2	FCL D1A	0.4	0.873	24.0	6.6	0.70	13.74	1.41	
	FCL D1B	0.2	0.873	24.0	6.6				
	FCL D2	0.2	0.436	23.0	6.6				
	CLA D3	2.0	1.746	0.6	6.7				
	D4								
3	FCL D1A	0.5	0.645	23.0	6.7	2.24	40.04	4.48	
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.645	23.0	6.7				
	FCL D2	0.3	0.322	23.0	6.7				
	CLA D3	2.9	1.291	23.0	6.7				
	D4								
4	FCL D1A	0.6	0.651	21.9	7.0	2.00	36.98	4.01	
	FCL D1B	0.9	0.651	21.7	6.9				
	FCL D2	0.3	0.325	21.7	6.8				
	CLA D3	3.3	1.303	22.0	6.8				
	D4								
5	FCL D1A	0.6	0.651	23.0	6.3	2.09	32.81	4.19	
	FCL D1B	1.1	0.651	23.0	6.3				
	FCL D2	0.2	0.325	23.0	6.4				
	CLA D3	3.0	1.303	23.0	6.5				
	D4								
6	FCL D1A	0.4	0.884	23.0	6.5	0.99	14.50	1.98	
	FCL D1B	0.8	0.884	23.0	6.5				
	FCL D2	0.1	0.442	22.0	6.7				
	CLA D3	2.8	1.769	23.0	6.7				
	D4								
7	FCL D1A	0.2	0.637	23.3	6.2	1.44	18.59	2.88	
	FCL D1B	0.7	0.637	23.3	6.0				
	FCL D2	0.1	0.318	23.5	6.5				
	CLA D3	3.2	1.274	23.8	6.7				
	D4								
8	FCL D1A	0.5	0.639	24.4	6.8	1.92	32.80	3.84	
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.639	24.3	6.6				
	FCL D2	0.2	0.319	24.3	6.6				
	CLA D3	2.5	1.278	24.8	6.5				
	D4								

PERFORMANCE DATA									
DISINFECTION PROCESS DATA									
Date	Disinfectant	C (mg/L)	Flow (MGD)	Temp (°C)	pH	Giardia Log	Virus Log	Inact. Ratio	Time
9	FCL D1A	0.8	0.650	24.8	6.8	2.77	52.85	5.53	
	FCL D1B	1.0	0.650	24.7	6.7				
	FCL D2	0.3	0.325	24.5	6.7				
	CLA D3	2.7	1.300	25.3	6.6				
	D4								
10	FCL D1A	0.4	0.880	24.0	6.6	1.14	16.66	2.27	
	FCL D1B	0.4	0.880	24.0	6.6				
	FCL D2	0.1	0.440	24.0	6.5				
	CLA D3	2.9	1.761	24.0	6.5				
	D4								
11	FCL D1A	0.1	0.666	24.0	6.5	0.75	7.94	1.50	
	FCL D1B	0.1	0.666	24.0	6.5				
	FCL D2	0.1	0.333	24.0	6.6				
	CLA D3	2.4	1.333	24.0	6.6				
	D4								
12	FCL D1A	0.5	0.635	24.0	6.6	2.27	37.54	4.54	
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.635	24.0	6.5				
	FCL D2	0.3	0.317	24.2	6.4				
	CLA D3	2.9	1.271	23.0	6.6				
	D4								
13	FCL D1A	0.4	0.642	23.9	6.5	1.71	30.53	3.42	
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.642	24.2	6.5				
	FCL D2	0.3	0.321	23.4	6.4				
	CLA D3	2.9	1.284	6.6	6.6				
	D4								
14	FCL D1A	1.2	0.642	23.0	6.5	3.18	55.56	6.35	
	FCL D1B	1.0	0.642	23.0	6.5				
	FCL D2	0.4	0.321	23.0	5.9				
	CLA D3	1.9	1.285	23.0	6.1				
	D4								
15	FCL D1A	1.2	0.641	24.0	6.4	13.38	348.61	26.76	
	FCL D1B	0.9	0.641	24.0	6.4				
	FCL D2	4.4	0.320	24.0	6.4				
	CLA D3	2.7	1.283	23.0	6.4				
	D4								
16	FCL D1A	1.2	0.635	24.0	6.5	6.46	136.50	12.92	
	FCL D1B	1.0	0.635	24.0	6.7				
	FCL D2	1.3	0.317	24.0	6.5				
	CLA D3	2.8	1.271	23.0	6.6				
	D4								

NOTE: = ONLY use the "Time" column to show the length of time that the total inactivation ratio was less than 1.00.

SUBMITTED BY: Thomas Sanchez Jr

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: May 21, 2015

SURFACE WATER MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT

FOR PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS THAT ARE USING SURFACE WATER SOURCES
OR GROUND WATER SOURCES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER (cont.)
Disinfection Data Page (cont.)

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility
PWS ID No.: 2400022 Plant ID No.: 20831

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo Revised
Month: April Year: 2015

DISINFECTION PROCESS PARAMETERS

APPROVED CT STUDY PARAMETERS						PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	
Parameters	Disinfection Zones					Log Inactivations	
	D1A	D1B	D2	D3	D4	Giardia lamblia Cysts	Virus
Flow Rate (MGD)	1.25	1.25	0.63	1.25		0.5	2.0
T ₁₀ (minutes)	7.90	7.90	21.00	50.40			

PERFORMANCE DATA									
DISINFECTION PROCESS DATA									
Date	Disinfectant	C (mg/L)	Flow (MGD)	Temp (°C)	pH	Giardia Log	Virus Log	Inact. Ratio	Time (min)
17	FCL D1A	0.8	0.645	24.0	6.0	7.88	157.38	15.77	
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.645	24.0	6.6				
	FCL D2	1.9	0.322	23.0	6.1				
	CLA D3	2.1	1.291	23.0	6.2				
	D4								
18	FCL D1A	0.7	0.647	25.0	6.4	8.87	194.42	17.74	
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.647	24.0	6.3				
	FCL D2	2.2	0.323	24.0	6.4				
	CLA D3	2.1	1.294	24.0	6.4				
	D4								
19	FCL D1A	0.5	0.632	25.0	6.5	9.65	217.00	19.31	
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.632	25.0	6.6				
	FCL D2	2.3	0.316	25.0	6.4				
	CLA D3	2.5	1.285	25.0	6.7				
	D4								
20	FCL D1A	0.6	0.665	23.9	7.1	10.67	273.49	21.33	
	FCL D1B	0.6	0.665	24.4	7.3				
	FCL D2	3.3	0.332	24.0	6.5				
	CLA D3	2.6	1.330	24.0	6.6				
	D4								
21	FCL D1A	1.2	0.655	22.9	6.7	10.32	282.18	20.64	
	FCL D1B	0.9	0.655	23.1	6.7				
	FCL D2	3.5	0.327	23.1	6.8				
	CLA D3	2.0	1.310	23.8	6.7				
	D4								
22	FCL D1A	0.9	0.637	23.4	6.9	10.69	321.19	21.38	
	FCL D1B	1.3	0.637	23.4	7.0				
	FCL D2	3.8	0.318	23.4	6.8				
	CLA D3	2.8	1.275	23.7	6.8				
	D4								
23	FCL D1A	0.7	0.624	24.4	7.1	11.58	354.72	23.16	
	FCL D1B	1.1	0.624	24.7	7.2				
	FCL D2	4.2	0.312	24.1	6.8				
	CLA D3	2.8	1.249	23.7	6.8				
	D4								
24	FCL D1A	0.9	0.613	25.3	7.0	11.32	368.90	22.64	
	FCL D1B	1.2	0.613	25.1	7.1				
	FCL D2	3.8	0.306	24.8	7.1				
	CLA D3	3.1	1.226	24.3	6.8				
	D4								

PERFORMANCE DATA									
DISINFECTION PROCESS DATA									
Date	Disinfectant	C (mg/L)	Flow (MGD)	Temp (°C)	pH	Giardia Log	Virus Log	Inact. Ratio	Time (min)
25	FCL D1A	0.9	0.619	26.1	6.9	12.45	365.28	24.90	
	FCL D1B	1.2	0.619	26.2	6.9				
	FCL D2	3.5	0.309	25.9	6.9				
	CLA D3	3.1	1.238	25.8	6.7				
	D4								
26	FCL D1A	0.7	0.622	26.6	6.6	13.20	382.94	26.39	
	FCL D1B	0.9	0.622	26.4	6.7				
	FCL D2	3.6	0.311	26.6	6.8				
	CLA D3	2.9	1.245	26.8	6.6				
	D4								
27	FCL D1A	0.2	0.632	25.0	6.9	8.55	278.71	17.10	
	FCL D1B	0.5	0.632	25.0	6.9				
	FCL D2	3.0	0.316	25.5	7.3				
	CLA D3	3.0	1.285	27.9	7.0				
	D4								
28	FCL D1A	0.5	0.649	25.0	7.3	9.79	254.48	19.58	
	FCL D1B	1.3	0.649	25.0	7.0				
	FCL D2	2.8	0.324	25.0	6.7				
	CLA D3	3.9	1.298	24.0	6.8				
	D4								
29	FCL D1A	1.2	0.658	21.0	6.7	8.23	250.97	16.46	
	FCL D1B	1.5	0.658	21.0	6.3				
	FCL D2	4.0	0.329	19.0	6.9				
	CLA D3	3.3	1.316	23.0	7.8				
	D4								
30	FCL D1A	1.4	0.524	23.0	8.0	9.47	351.24	18.95	
	FCL D1B	3.2	0.524	23.0	7.1				
	FCL D2	3.3	0.262	23.0	7.6				
	CLA D3	4.2	1.049	24.0	6.9				
	D4								
31	D1A								
	D1B								
	D2								
	D3								
	D4								

NOTE: The log removal credits for this plant were restricted on at least one day this month due to high free chlorine levels in one or more zones or trains.

Max	13.38	382.94	26.76
Min	0.70	7.94	1.41
Avg	6.24	185.12	12.48
SD	4.44	138.48	8.87

NOTE: = ONLY use the "Time=" column to show the length of time that the total inactivation ratio was less than 1.00.

SUBMITTED BY: Thomas Sanchez Jr Certificate No. WS0009456, C Date: May 21, 2015

MONTHLY TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON REMOVAL REPORT (TOCMOR)

FOR SURFACE WATER OR GROUND WATER UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SURFACE WATER SYSTEMS

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM NAME: Webb County Water Utility
 PWS ID No.: 2400022

PLANT NAME OR NUMBER: Rio Bravo Revised
 Month: April Year: 2015

Plant ID No.: 20831

Type of treatment: Conventional

Unconventional explain:

Note: Systems are required to run one TOC Sample Set every month. Additional space is provided for those systems that do additional sampling

Test No.	Test Date	Monthly TOC Sample Set			Actual % TOC Removed	Step 1 Required Removal %	Step 1 Removal Ratio	Optional data		INDIVIDUAL SAMPLE COMPLIANCE REMOVAL RATIO
		Raw Alkalinity	Raw TOC	Treated TOC				Step 2 Required % Removal	Step 2 Removal Ratio	
		Enter the Sample Set results						<i>calculated</i>	<i>calculated from matrix</i>	
1	4/1	120	3.54	2.49	29.7	25	1.19			1.19
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28										
29										
30										
31										
Avg		120.00	3.54	2.49	29.66		1.19			1.19
Max		120.00	3.54	2.49	29.66		1.19			1.19
Min		120.00	3.54	2.49	29.66		1.19			1.19

TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (TOC) REMOVAL SUMMARY

TOC Summary					Monthly Compliance Ratio
Raw Water Alkalinity	Raw Water TOC	Treated Water TOC	TOC % Removal	ACC # used	
120	3.54	2.49	29.7	NA	1.19

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in this report and that, to the best of my knowledge, the information is true, complete, and accurate.

Operator's Signature: _____

Certificate No. and Grade: WS0009456, C

Date: May 21, 2015

Submit the report by the 10th of the month following the reporting period to:
 TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
 WATER SUPPLY DIVISION/PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SECTION (MC-155)
 P.O. BOX 13087, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087



WEBB COUNTY UTILITIES DEPARTMENT
513 MARTHA DRIVE
RIO BRAVO, Texas 78046

COVER LETTER
Revised SWMOR

Attention To: Donald Hunter

Submitted by: Tomas Sanchez Jr.

Date/Time: May 21, 2015

Attach is the revised Monthly Report for April 2015 that we had some wrong incorrect readings to the Flows on Page 4 & 5.

Revised month of April 2015.